9 January 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy for Planning, DDI Management Staff

SUBJECT: Classification of Selected Documents Related to Case STATINTL

1. The following three documents were on the original CRS listing of documents prepared on 13 April 1972 as having some connection with

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

STATINTL

ness

Item No.	Title/Subject	<u>Author</u>	Date	<u>Class</u>	Descriptist ATINTL
50.	Indexing Task		9 Jan 64	Conf.	Memo of meeting with The STATINTL Indexing Problems
128.	Document Processing Requirements and Error Rate Associ- ated with OCR		2 Jul 68 STATINTL	Secret	report in fulfillment of his contract (2 copies)
129.	The relationship between different levels of indexing and cost effective-		2 Jul 68	Secret	A comparison of the error and processing rates for different levels of indexing

In November 1973, I examined items 128 and 129 and judged neither to fit the criteria for documents to be made available for inspection by IBM. I further concluded that both warranted a security classification because they contained classified attachments—in particular, copies of classified CIA reports. If these attachments were removed, however, the reports could be declassified.

2. Item 50 was somehow overlooked in the screening process and may have some relevance to the case. I examined it this morning STATINTL and do not feel it warrants a security classification. I have therefore declassified it.

Chief, Document Services Group

STATINTL

Approved For Release/2002/01/03 : CIA-RDP80-01794R000100180001-1

1/8/74

STATINTL

ment Office, brought this by for you. He will be gone after 4:00 this afternoon, but said if you have any questions to call him in the morning.

STATINTL

and tother hownpaded in hovement here were here were knowned in how 1973